

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,484	02/10/2004	John Santhoff	028CIP-119	1051
7590 05/30/2006			EXAMINER	
Pulse-Link, Inc.			VO, DON NGUYEN	
Attention: Steve Moore 1969 Kellogg Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Carlsbad, CA		2611		
		DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/775,484	SANTHOFF ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DON N. VO	2611			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe	ebruary 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ⊤	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Information	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date <u>2/10/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The examiner approves the drawings filed on 6/25/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation of claims 14-16 are confusing because it is unclear of whether the claims are method claims or method claims since the preamble set forth for the method claims but the bodies of the claims recite means plus function, which are for the apparatus claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2611

5. Claims 1, 4-11, and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mowery, jr. (6,492,897).

Mowery, as shown in figures 1-7, teaches a system for coupling wireless signals to and from a power transmission line communication system comprising electric power wire (27), electric power outlet (28), ultra-wideband transmitter and receiver (24, 58). The coupling system of Mowery supports plurality of modulation techniques including UWB to communicate to and from the power line or power grid, which includes transformer (37), transmission substation (39), and distribution substation (38), through the interface (58) (bridge). See also column 6, lines 38 to column 17, line 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2611

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

8. Claims 2, 3, 12, 13, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mowery, Jr. (6,492,897).

Mowery teaches all subject matter claimed except for specify the duration of the UWB pulse (claims 2, 12 and 20) and the power ranging (claims 3, 13 and 21) as claimed. However, to communicate the UWB pulse having the claimed duration and power is only a matter of selecting the operational parameters for a system's need and thus, would not involve any inventive features. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Mowery for communicating the UWB pulse having the claimed duration and power as long as it is still in according with the UWB time domain based technology (column 11, lines 11-28) since it is just one of the range that the UWB signals can be communicated.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References Akiyama et al (2003/0129978), Green et al (2004/0125859) and Boyden et al (2005/0047379) are cited because they are pertinent to the UWB communication systems.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,484

Art Unit: 2611

Page 5

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DON N. VO whose telephone number is (571) 272-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on MON - FRI (9:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DON N. VO

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611